# PROJECT ZERO Data Monitoring Packet

November, 2002 Statewide Implementation

Prepared by:

Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division Service Delivery Administration Michigan Family Independence Agency

#### PROJECT ZERO SITES, continued

**Phase IV - 35 Sites 10/01/1999** 

		Upper Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved		
		Alger* Schoolcraft* Delta* Gogebic* Ontonagon*	6/07/2000 6/2000 2/09/2001		
Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Antrim*Branch*Cass*Clinton*Shiawassee*Gladwin*	5/18/2000 3/07/2000 5/27/2000 5/16/2001	Grand Traverse* Kalamazoo* Kalkaska* Lenawee* Oakland* Oscoda*	9/29/2000 10/01/1999 3/29/2000 3/29/2000	Montmorency*	7/28/2000
Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Medbury/Concord* McNichols/Goddard* Maddelein* Kercheval/Townsend*	9/27/2000 8/01/2000	Redford*Greenfield/Joy*Inkster*Schoolcraft/Stansbur	1/19/2000 10/31/2000 3/22/2000	Glendale/Trumbull* Grand River/Warren* Highland Park*	8/17/2000

<sup>\*</sup> Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

#### PROJECT ZERO SITES, continued

**Phase V – 19 Sites** 06/01/2000

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Alcona* Allegan* Baraga* Cheboygan* Chippewa* Crawford* Dickinson*	8/17/2000 7/26/2000 7/20/2000 6/12/2002 8/01/2000	Houghton*losco*Keweenaw*Livingston*Luce*Mackinac*	9/22/2000 10/11/2000 10/11/2000 6/20/2000 9/13/2000	Monroe* Ogemaw* Otsego*	2/23/2001 9/08/2000 8/08/2000 9/9/2000 10/09/2000

**Phase VI – 15 Sites** 10/01/2000 Statewide Implementation

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Arenac*Bay Clare*Gratiot*Huron*	3/23/2001	Ingham Isabella* Jackson Lapeer Macomb	4/03/2001	Muskegon Osceola* Saginaw Tuscola Washtenaw	5/17/2001

<sup>\*</sup> Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

### **Project Zero**

**roject Zero** is a part of the Family Independence Agency's continuing welfare reform initiative, *To Strengthen Michigan Families (TSMF)*, which assists recipients making the transition from dependency to self-sufficiency.

Project Zero began in 1996 as a small research project in six areas of the state designed to identify certain personal characteristics, demographic information, recipient strengths and barriers to employment--real and perceived--of FIP recipients.

The purpose of Project Zero is twofold. First, it is to identify, through the survey noted above, barriers to employment that are unique to recipients without earned income. Secondly, the project utilized the data findings to assist state agencies and community organizations to develop and implement programs and services in all sites aimed at decreasing the number of recipients without earned income.

The first six Project Zero sites became operational in July, 1996 and additional sites were phased in over a period of years. Final statewide implementation was complete in October, 2000.

## **Project Zero Data Monitoring Packet**

Data for the Project Zero data monitoring packet is obtained from the Family Independence Agency's Client Information System (CIS). The information is point-in-time data and is obtained at the beginning of each month. This data reflects the case transactions that occurred during the previous month.

Due to the nature of the public assistance caseload, the data displayed here represents a picture of a population that is constantly changing. Families move on and off public assistance, gain or lose employment and move into or out of the project area. Cases that are transferred into a project site from other parts of the state are immediately counted as part of the target caseload.

With statewide implementation (effective October 1, 2000) Project Zero is now a service delivery mechanism for all clients. The goal is 100 percent employment for all target cases. However, there are additional measures of success in Project Zero, including:

- The drop in the number and percentage of cases without earned income.
- Movement of recipients out of public assistance as demonstrated by the declining caseload.

- An increasing number of recipients who achieve self-sufficiency and improved lives for themselves and their children.
- Community collaboration and responsibility in developing support systems and removing employment barriers.

The goal of Project Zero is to reduce to zero the number of FIP households that have **no** earned income. Thus all cases required to work will be employed and have earned income. Cases are required to work if there is **any member** age 16 or older who:

- is a mandatory Work First participant or
- is not employed full time or
- is sanctioned or penalized *and*
- the case is open more than 60 days.

The formula for determining the number of cases required to work is:

(FIP cases) minus (cases open less than 60 days) minus (Work First deferred cases, i.e., those not required to work).

Recipients are <u>deferred</u> from Work First or are meeting participation requirements and are **not** required to work if they meet one of the criteria listed below:

- Parent of a child under 3 months.
- Single parent who personally provides care for a child under age 6 when adequate child care is not available.
- Under 16 or over 65 years of age.
- Full-time students between the ages of 16 and 18.
- Minor parent who is in school full-time.
- Temporary incapacity (expected to last 3 months or less).
- Applicant for SSI through the Social Security Administration.
- Providing care for an incapacitated spouse: Note Effective 10/01/02 the deferral for caring for an incapacitated spouse will require an assessment of need.
- Providing care for an SSI child or a child eligible for the Early-On program: This deferral is changed from automatic to mandating an assessment of the circumstances in the family. Parents must participate in Work First unless they are participating in Early On activity or are needed in the home to care for the child on SSI. Parents with children in school or able to attend day care will only be deferred to the extent that parental care is needed.

- Full-time volunteer for VISTA, Job Corps or AmeriCorp.
- Participants in transitional housing programs funded by HUD or MSHDA.
- Experiencing a temporary critical event, such as homelessness or domestic violence (deferral of up to 3 months at local office discretion).

The focus of Project Zero is on achieving 100% employment for clients in the project sites who are required to work. To help clients obtain employment, FIA staff will be working with them to eliminate barriers to employment and promote independence.

Additional resources are being developed within the agency as well as efforts to coordinate with other departments such as Transportation, MSHDA, and the Department of Career Development. Community agencies are also being asked for their assistance in providing resources for the project effort. Training in Strength Based/Solution Focused programs has taken place for staff involved in the project.


Data Source: SW-210.

[lee]Project0/pz2002.doc./11-06-02

#### PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL STATEWIDE SITES

# Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data


Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



#### PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL WAYNE COUNTY SITES

# Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income




Wayne County sites Tireman, Greydale, Warren/Conner, Forest/Ellery, Fort Wayne, Fullerton, Hamtramck, Lincoln Park, Taylor, Medbury/Concord, McNichols, Maddelein, Kercheval, Redford, Greenfield/Joy, Inkster, Schoolcraft, Glendale, Grand River/Warren and Highland Park

#### PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL OUTSTATE SITES

# Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



## ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, NOVEMBER 2002

		September 1992*	Total	Number	Number of	ΤΔΕ	RGET GROUP CA	SES
	COUNTY	AFDC Caseload	FIP Caseload	Open < 60 Davs	Work First Deferred Cases	Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
1	Alcona	155	42	8	27	7	0%	100%
2	Alger	93	19	4	15	0	0%	0%
3	Allegan	1,118	299	68	200	31	61%	39%
4	Alpena	587	167	46	107	14	79%	21%
5	Antrim	246	51	15	31	5	80%	20%
<u>6</u>	Arenac	387	102	17	74	11	18%	82%
7	Baraga	184	35	14	15	6	33%	67%
8	Barry	671	174	55	95	24	62%	38%
9	Bay	2,438	623	125	345	153	44%	56%
10	Benzie	157	37	8	26	3	33%	67%
11	Berrien	4,351	1,377	264	926	187	67%	33%
12	Branch	652	184	71	64	49	43%	57%
13	Calhoun	3,531	1,053	221	664	168	49%	51%
14	Cass	1,032	270	64	184	22	82%	18%
15	Charlevoix	282	55	18	33	4	50%	50%
16	Cheboygan	412	108	19	78	11	45%	55%
17	Chippewa	552	132	46	69	17	35%	65%
18	Clare	938	215	56	144	15	27%	73%
19	Clinton	502	98	23	60	15	47%	53%
20	Crawford	258	71	22	37	12	50%	50%
21	Delta	667	141	34	79	28	93%	7%
22	Dickinson	286	71	18	49	4	100%	0%
23	Eaton	886	274	58	177	39	69%	31%
24	Emmet	253	59	14	43	2	0%	100%
25	Genesee	16,817	5,662	768	3,258	1,636	51%	49%
26	Gladwin	597	117	12	92	13	46%	54%
27	Gogebic	303	99	18	55	26	54%	46%
28	Grand Traverse	547	124	24	81	19	47%	53%
29	Gratiot	753	140	44	80	16	50%	50%
30	Hillsdale	674	164	62	81	21	48%	52%
31	Houghton	573	91	17	63	11	82%	18%
32	Huron	566	100	30	52	18	39%	61%
33	Ingham	6,048	1,425	264	939	222	70%	30%
34	Ionia	821	234	76	129	29	45%	55%
35	losco	427	139	39	76	24	33%	67%
36	Iron	168	58	16	35	7	86%	14%
37	Isabella	923	218	44	137	37	46%	54%
38	Jackson	3,136	1,024	144	651	229	36%	64%
39	Kalamazoo	4,256	1,399	280	774	345	34%	66%
40	Kalkaska	257	53	17	32	4	75%	25%
41	Kent	7,803	3,732	632	2,358	742	58%	42%
42	Keweenaw	15	2	0	2	0	0%	100%

<sup>\*</sup> September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

#### ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, NOVEMBER 2002

		September 1992*	Total	Number	Number of	TAF	RGET GROUP CA	SES
	COUNTY	AFDC Caseload	FIP	Open	Work First	Number	Percent With	Percent Without
		Al DO Gascidad	Caseload	< 60 Days	Deferred Cases	of Cases	Earned Income	Earned Income
43	Lake	362	130	28	83	19	26%	74%
44	Lapeer	908	208	53	117	38	42%	58%
45	Leelanau	113	32	8	23	1	0%	0%
46	Lenawee	1,495	359	80	236	43	72%	28%
47	Livingston	720	129	33	88	8	50%	50%
48	Luce	111	48	10	27	11	73%	27%
49	Mackinac	95	23	5	17	1	0%	100%
50	Macomb	6,108	1,843	285	1,240	318	44%	56%
51	Manistee	400	101	21	65	15	60%	40%
52	Marquette	848	201	54	110	37	51%	49%
53	Mason	503	153	40	85	28	61%	39%
54	Mecosta	745	175	46	109	20	65%	35%
55	Menominee	243	94	25	58	11	45%	55%
56	Midland	1,169	235	49	139	47	51%	49%
57	Missaukee*	263						
58	Monroe	2,000	502	78	383	41	41%	59%
59	Montcalm	1,134	250	66	140	44	34%	66%
60	Montmorency	202	43	13	21	9	44%	56%
61	Muskegon	4,660	2,263	373	1,086	804	37%	63%
62	Newaygo	765	259	66	166	27	56%	44%
63	Oakland	10,819	2,762	335	1,922	505	49%	51%
64	Oceana	483	245	74	111	60	43%	57%
65	Ogemaw	545	133	30	89	14	71%	29%
66	Ontonagon	124	36	12	17	7	100%	0%
67	Osceola	497	122	35	77	10	60%	40%
68	Oscoda	172	59	15	38	6	100%	0%
69	Otsego	191	85	24	55	6	67%	33%
70	Ottawa	1,238	366	91	248	27	30%	70%
71	Presque Isle	189	31	5	22	4	75%	25%
72	Roscommon	572	158	47	96	15	67%	33%
73	Saginaw	7,302	3,027	364	1,461	1,202	54%	46%
74	St. Clair	2,745	824	199	397	228	43%	57%
75	St. Joseph	1,026	275	74	189	12	50%	50%
76	Sanilac	729	191	35	122	34	35%	65%
77	Schoolcraft	199	43	11	25	7	57%	43%
78	Shiawassee	1,196	250	57	155	38	76%	24%
79	Tuscola	958	205	57	115	33	64%	36%
80	Van Buren	1,811	581	140	360	81	48%	52%
81	Washtenaw	3,048	930	148	531	251	38%	62%
82	Wayne	90,574	28,130	3,042	15,268	9,820	37%	63%
83	Wexford/Missaukee**	618	228	47	152	29	45%	55%
	Statewide Total	213,202	66,167	9,950	38,080	18,137	43%	57%

<sup>\*</sup> September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

#### **ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, NOVEMBER 2002**

	WAYNE COUNTY	September 1992*	Total	Number	Number of		RGET GROUP CA	
	DISTRICT OFFICES	AFDC Caseload	FIP Caseload	Open < 60 Days	Work First Deferred Cases	Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
	ZONE VII**							
41	Fort Wayne	3,343	1,465	142	958	365	42%	58%
43	Glendale	2,671	2,007	198	996	813	36%	64%
49	Grand River/Warren	2,050	937	144	457	336	43%	57%
55	Hamtramck	4,758	978	127	611	240	52%	48%
56	Highland Park	2,383	770	60	375	335	31%	69%
57	Medbury	2,714	1,199	103	553	543	40%	60%
59	McNichols/Goddard	4,346	1,238	136	579	523	29%	71%
73	Forest/Ellery	2,594	1,267	116	698	453	37%	63%
74	Kercheval***	2,815						
76	Maddelein		3,191	367	1,561	1,263	38%	62%
79	Warren/Conner	2,943	1,637	142	782	713	34%	66%
80	Lafayette/Hospital Corridor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	TOTAL	30,617	14,689	1,535	7,570	5,584	37%	63%
	ZONE VIII**							
13	Redford	3,970	945	95	690	160	37%	63%
14	Lincoln Park	3,092	871	80	596	195	38%	62%
15	Greydale	4,706	2,243	303	1,247	693	37%	63%
17	Greenfield/Joy	4,385	1,745	215	1,090	440	39%	61%
18	Taylor	3,328	799	106	516	177	41%	59%
19	Inkster	3,001	1,552	171	948	433	45%	55%
32	Tireman	3,223	1,836	150	843	843	28%	72%
35	Schoolcraft	4,131	1,805	202	941	662	36%	64%
39	Fullerton	4,303	1,603	180	797	626	36%	64%
	TOTAL	34,139	13,399	1,502	7,668	4,229	36%	64%
99	WAYNE - OTHER	1	42	5	30	7	14%	86%
WAY	NE COUNTY TOTAL	71,316	28,130	3,042	15,268	9,820	37%	63%
OUT	STATE TOTAL	122,628	38,037	6,908	22,812	8,317	50%	50%
STA	TE TOTALS	213,203	66,167	9,950	38,080	18,137	43%	57%
PRC	JECT SITE TOTAL	N/A	66,167	9,950	38,080	18,137	43%	57%

<sup>\*</sup> September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Wayne County Districts have been realigned into Zones VII and VIII as outlined above effective August, 2002. \*\*\*The Kercheval District has been closed.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

[rml]Project0/PZNovember02.xls/12-08-2002

#### CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

			JULY, 1996		00	CTOBER, 20	02		NOVEME	BER, 2002	
	COUNTY	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
1	Alcona	73	26	36%	2	2	100%	7	7	100%	0%
2	Alger	53	23	43%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%
3	Allegan	402	217	54%	28	11	39%	31	12	39%	9%
4	Alpena	294	106	36%	18	8	44%	14	3	21%	-63%
5	Antrim	80	36	45%	2	0	0%	5	1	20%	0%
6	Arenac	175	75	43%	12	9	75%	11	9	82%	0%
7	Baraga	104	54	52%	3	2	0%	6	4	67%	100%
8	Barry	254	114	45%	17	5	29%	24	9	38%	0%
9	Bay	1,397	566	41%	138	70	51%	153	85	56%	21%
10	Benzie	46	19	41%	3	0	0%	3	2	67%	0%
11	Berrien	2,031	1,073	53%	206	76	37%	187	62	33%	-18%
12	Branch	245	131	53%	30	16	53%	49	28	57%	75%
13	Calhoun	1,681	913	54%	146	70	48%	168	86	51%	23%
14	Cass	471	307	65%	17	1	6%	22	4	18%	300%
15	Charlevoix	75	33	44%	2	0	0%	4	2	50%	0%
16	Cheboygan	160	58	36%	11	8	73%	11	6	55%	-25%
17	Chippewa	233	115	49%	13	7	54%	17	11	65%	57%
18	Clare	495	242	49%	20	14	70%	15	11	73%	-21%
19	Clinton	223	91	41%	5	1	20%	15	8	53%	700%
20	Crawford	159	65	41%	5	2	40%	12	6	50%	200%
21	Delta	411	207	50%	28	8	29%	28	2	7%	-75%
22	Dickinson	185	105	57%	2	0	0%	4	0	0%	0%
23	Eaton	463	234	51%	46	19	41%	39	12	31%	-37%
24	Emmet	102	40	39%	1	0	0%	2	2	0%	0%
25	Genesee	9,926	6,135	62%	1,576	716	45%	1,636	796	49%	11%
26	Gladwin	269	91	34%	13	5	38%	13	7	54%	40%
28	Grand Traverse	201	87	43%	12	4	33%	19	10	53%	150%
29	Gratiot	378	201	53%	12	4	33%	16	8	50%	100%
30	Hillsdale	228	129	57%	23	16	70%	21	11	52%	-31%
31	Houghton	307	118	38%	11	4	36%	11	2	18%	-50%
32	Huron	196	76	39%	18	9	50%	18	11	61%	22%
33	Ingham	3,323	1,595	48%	223	58	26%	222	66	30%	14%
34	Ionia	355	179	50%	32	16	50%	29	16	55%	0%
35	losco	194	87	45%	20	14	70%	24	16	67%	14%
36	Iron	97	45	46%	6	1	17%	7	1	14%	0%
37	Isabella	420	206	49%	34	17	50%	37	20	54%	18%
38	Jackson	1,620	895	55%	208	130	63%	229	146	64%	12%
39	Kalamazoo	2,054	1,225	60%	312	192	62%	345	226	66%	18%
40	Kalkaska	68	34	50%	6	1	0%	4	1	25%	0%
41	Kent	3,889	2,383	61%	703	297	42%	742	308	42%	4%
42	Keweenaw	4	2	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

[rml]Project0/PZEICNovember02.xls/12-08-2002

#### CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

		JULY, 1996		OC	CTOBER, 20	02		NOVEMB	ER, 2002	
COUNTY	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
43 Lake	159	91	57%	19	12	63%	19	14	74%	17%
44 Lapeer	309	134	43%	35	21	60%	38	22	58%	5%
45 Leelanau	25	11	44%	0	0	0%	1	1	0%	0%
46 Lenawee	697	392	56%	43	10	23%	43	12	28%	20%
47 Livingston	272	141	52%	11	4	36%	8	4	50%	0%
48 Luce	74	35	47%	5	1	20%	11	3	27%	0%
49 Mackinac	44	23	52%	1	1	0%	1	1	0%	0%
50 Macomb	3,358	1,791	53%	263	132	50%	318	179	56%	36%
51 Manistee	199	94	47%	14	6	43%	15	6	40%	0%
52 Marquette	481	183	38%	35	16	46%	37	18	49%	13%
53 Mason	222	101	45%	21	8	38%	28	11	39%	38%
54 Mecosta	384	206	54%	17	10	59%	20	7	35%	-30%
55 Menominee	116	57	49%	13	6	46%	11	6	55%	0%
56 Midland	676	284	42%	39	13	33%	47	23	49%	77%
57 Missaukee*	127	54	43%							
58 Monroe	948	466	49%	36	11	31%	41	24	59%	118%
59 Montcalm	422	229	54%	37	22	59%	44	29	66%	32%
60 Montmorency	86	30	35%	4	1	25%	9	5	56%	400%
61 Muskegon	2,344	1,370	58%	761	484	64%	804	507	63%	5%
62 Newaygo	297	183	62%	18	7	39%	27	12	44%	71%
63 Oakland	6,042	3,631	60%	464	227	49%	505	260	51%	15%
64 Oceana	191	83	43%	59	30	51%	60	34	57%	13%
65 Ogemaw	268	97	36%	9	2	22%	14	4	29%	100%
66 Ontonagon	60	34	57%	5	1	20%	7	0	0%	-100%
67 Osceola	266	141	53%	5	1	20%	10	4	40%	300%
68 Oscoda	91	44	48%	3	0	0%	6	0	0%	0%
69 Otsego	72	33	46%	5	1	20%	6	2	33%	0%
70 Ottawa	376	161	43%	18	11	61%	27	19	70%	73%
71 Presque Isle	65	24	37%	2	1	0%	4	1	25%	0%
72 Roscommon	307	118	38%	12	3	25%	15	5	33%	0%
73 Saginaw	4,616	2,435	53%	1,148	514	45%	1,202	558	46%	9%
74 St. Clair	1,207	587	49%	209	112	54%	228	131	57%	17%
75 St. Joseph	396	248	63%	13	2	15%	12	6	50%	200%
76 Sanilac	224	85	38%	31	19	61%	34	22	65%	16%
77 Schoolcraft	121	66	55%	3	1	33%	7	3	43%	200%
78 Shiawassee	488	223	46%	42	12	29%	38	9	24%	-25%
79 Tuscola	355	155	44%	28	10	36%	33	12	36%	20%
80 Van Buren	756	396	52%	76	39	51%	81	42	52%	8%
81 Washtenaw	1,663	970	58%	238	152	64%	251	155	62%	2%
82 Wayne	60,090	46,029	77%	9,348	5,820	62%	9,820	6,222	63%	7%
83 Wexford**	242	117	48%	21	14	67%	29	16	55%	14%
Statewide Total	122,697	80,034	65%	17,096	9,559	56%	18,137	10,418	57%	9%

<sup>\*</sup> Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

#### CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

WAYNE COUNTY DISTRICT		JULY, 1996	)	00	CTOBER, 20	02		NOVEMB	ER, 2002	
OFFICES	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
ZONE VII										
41 Fort Wayne	2,664	2,038	77%	354	200	56%	365	212	58%	6%
43 Glendale	1,511	1,119	74%	760	476	63%	813	523	64%	10%
49 Grand River/Warren	1,677	1,360	81%	328	181	55%	336	190	57%	5%
55 Hamtramck	2,204	1,710	78%	219	94	43%	240	114	48%	21%
56 Highland Park	1,844	1,480	80%	306	207	68%	335	230	69%	11%
57 Medbury	1,950	1,588	81%	527	326	62%	543	325	60%	0%
59 McNichols/Goddard	3,332	2,674	80%	497	340	68%	523	371	71%	9%
73 Forest/Ellery	1,534	1,156	75%	443	285	64%	453	287	63%	1%
74 Kercheval**	1,684	1,265	75%							
76 Maddelein				1,178	725	62%	1,263	789	62%	9%
79 Warren/Conner	1,997	1,682	84%	668	437	65%	713	469	66%	7%
80 Lafayette/Hospital Corridor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL	20,397	16,072	79%	5,280	3,271	62%	5,584	3,510	63%	7%
ZONE VIII										
13 Redford	2,181	1,520	70%	146	83	57%	160	101	63%	22%
14 Lincoln Park	1,946	1,303	67%	187	120	64%	195	121	62%	1%
15 Greydale/Grand River	3,115	2,473	79%	677	423	62%	693	438	63%	4%
17 Greenfield/Joy	2,977	2,352	79%	421	253	60%	440	267	61%	6%
18 Taylor	1,988	1,203	61%	181	107	59%	177	105	59%	-2%
19 Inkster	2,826	1,813	64%	423	221	52%	433	240	55%	9%
32 Tireman/Central	1,921	1,458	76%	812	568	70%	843	611	72%	8%
35 Schoolcraft/Stansbury	3,032	2,349	77%	616	386	63%	662	423	64%	10%
39 Fullerton/Jeffries	2,677	2,199	82%	600	384	64%	626	400	64%	4%
TOTAL	19,986	14,471	72%	4,063	2,545	63%	4,229	2,706	64%	6%
99 WAYNE - OTHER	126	71	11%	5	4	80%	7	6	86%	50%
WAYNE COUNTY TOTAL	60,090	46,029	77%	9,348	5,820	62%	9,820	6,222	63%	7%
OUTSTATE TOTAL	62,607	34,005	54%	7,748	3,739	48%	8,317	4,196	50%	12%
STATE TOTALS	122,697	80,034	65%	17,096	9,559	56%	18,137	10,418	57%	9%
PROJECT SITE TOTAL	4,691	2,807	60%	17,096	9,559	56%	18,137	10,418	57%	9%

<sup>\*\*</sup> Wayne County Districts have been realigned into Zones VII and VIII as outlined above effective August 2002. The Kercheval district has been closed.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

[rml]Project0/PZEICNovember02.xls/12-08-2002